

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,713	08/25/2000		Harry T. French	FRENCH 6-2	7110
47396	7590	07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
HITT GAI	•		HAN, CLEMENCE S		
AGERE SY		NC.		L DE LOUIS	D. D
PO BOX 832570				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RICHARDSON, TX 75083				2616	
				DATE MAILED, 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/649,713	FRENCH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Clemence Han	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	oril 2006.						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· <del></del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) 19-23,25-30 and 32-50 is/are pending in the application.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 33-50 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23, 25-30 and 32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/649,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 19-23, 25-30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhagavath et al. (US 5,940,369) in view of Leijonhufvud (US 6,532,243).

Regarding to claim 19 and 26, Bhagavath teaches for use with a packet transport system associated with a switching network and having a master device and a slave device that transmits packets therebetween over a local interface, a messaging system for facilitating communications between said master device and said slave device, comprising: an aggregate level detector that determines storage levels of a plurality of channels associated with said slave device (Column 3 Line 3-7), said slave device 111 providing a network interface to said switching network 115 for said master device 101 (Subscriber Premises in Figure 1); and a periodic message generator that periodically issues to said master device over said local interface ("IA-HDT transmission link" in Column 1 Line 56-57) a periodic

Application/Control Number: 09/649,713

Art Unit: 2616

message indicating said storage levels (Column 2 Line 48-53). Bhagavath, however, does not teach enabling said master device to determine a variation between a first clock associated with said slave device and a second clock associated with said master device. Leijonhufvud teaches enabling said master device to determine a variation between a first clock associated with said slave device and a second clock associated with said master device (Column 2 Line 52-56). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bhagavath to enable said master device to determine a variation between a first clock associated with said slave device and a second clock associated with said master device as taught by Leijonhufvud in order to achieve a synchronization between two devices (Column 1 Line 12-17).

Regarding to claim 20 and 27, Bhagavath teaches said periodic message is transmitted in band with packets transmitted from said slave device to said master device (Column 4 Line 29-33).

Regarding to claim 21 and 28, Bhagavath teaches packets transmitted to said master device 101 are packets received by said slave device 111 over said switching network 115 (Column 4 Line 1).

Regarding to claim 22 and 29, Bhagavath teaches said switching network 115 is an asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) network.

Application/Control Number: 09/649,713 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding to claim 23 and 30, Bhagavath teaches said periodic message is contained in a single packet (Column 4 Line 24).

Regarding to claim 25 and 32, Bhagavath teaches said master device transmits additional packets to said slave device based on said periodic message (Column 3 Line 25-29).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 33-50 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior arts in the record fail to teach or make obvious to a method comprising both channel level detector and aggregate level detector where the master device control the transmission based on at least one of the feedbacks from both detectors within a structure of the claim. These features are claimed in the independent claims 33 and 41 and render them allowable.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 19-23, 25-30 and 32-50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is Application/Control Number: 09/649,713 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

571-273-8300.

(571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 -

5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Clemence Han

CH

Examiner

Art Unit 2676

PRIMARY EXAMINER